

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,328	02/12/2004	Saad N. Nemeh	5014	4918
23623	7590 11/14/2006	EXAMINER		
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114			MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/777,328	NEMEH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael A. Marcheschi	1755			
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of Reply</li> </ul>	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication of the period for reply will be officed by the office later than three months after the mail and patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	August 2006.				
· —	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
, <del>_</del>	•—	oplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23-28</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 29-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Inform	<b>a</b> . <b>a. a. a. a. a. a. a.</b>					

Application/Control Number: 10/777,328

Art Unit: 1755

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/25/06 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a scattering of 0.225 to 0.245, as depicted in figure 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for scattering of 0.225 or more (no upper limit). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 20-22 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by

The article titled "Brief Profiles of Investment projects for China's Agenda 21", dated 5/21/02.

This article teaches Chinese kaolin from the Shanxi province (same province as the claimed Chinese kaolin) having a size of less than 2 microns and a brightness of more than 90%.

Art Unit: 1755

Since this kaolin is from the same province as the claimed kaolin, the limitations defined on lines 2-4 of claims 20-22 (carbon content, iron content and propensity to release alumina) are inherent. With respect to the oil absorption and the scattering, since the kaolin material is the same (from the same province and has the same size it is the examiners position that the above characteristics are inherent in the kaolin material absent clear evidence ton the contrary. In view of this, the above claims are anticipated by this article.

Claims 1-13 and 23-28 are allowable over the prior art of record because said art fails to teach the claimed method.

Claims 29 and 30 would be allowable if amended to overcome the 112 rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Marcheschi whose telephone number is (571) 272-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 foll-free).

11/06 MM Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755